Land belonging to Sri Madhava Krishnayya, Proprietor, T. R. Mills, Chamarajpet.

Q.—294. Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether any land has been granted to Sri Madhava Krishnayya, Proprietor, T. R. Mills, in V Road, Chamarajpet, Bangalore City;

(*b*) if so, the extent of the land granted, the date of the grant and the value or consideration, if any recovered;

(*c*) the purpose for which the land has been granted;

(*d*) whether any property belonging to the Bangalore Corporation is contained inside this land and, if so, whether any reservations have been made in the terms of the grant with respect to it:

(*e*) if no such reservations have been made, the probable cost of recovering the Corporation property from this land and redisposing of it suitably;

(*f*) whether any and if so, what arrangements have been made to safeguard Corporation property?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) to (*f*) Does not arise in view of reply to question (*a*).

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether it is a fact that underground drainage and other property belonging to the Bangalore Corporation are contained within this land that is now in the possession of Sri Madhava Krishnayya?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know how he got to get this land if Government did not make the grant?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The land belongs to him.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Do Government happen to possess any information as to how he got the land and from whom and why?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I cannot answer this off-hand.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Did the Government make no attempt to get the information when notice of this question was given to them?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—We have made attempts, but we did not get the information.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether the Government had previously made an order reserving part of this land for providing access to the Kempambudhi Tank?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What has subsequently happened?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It was subsequently ordered that the sewage line should be diverted through some other place leading to Kempambudhi Tank.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—But why was it considered unnecessary to provide this access to the Kempambudhi Tank? May I know whether the Government do intend to do away with the Tank or do they intend to maintain the Tank as it is?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The formation of the road is very costly. Therefore they thought of diversion of this sewage line through some other place.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—My question relates to providing access by road and the Hon'ble Minister is saying something by way of reply which refers to a sewage pipe.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—There was a proposal to form a road 40 feet in width. It was later considered unnecessary to form a road across that land.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether there are any reasons for allowing this particular person to erect a Mill in a residential locality?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The question does not arise out of the main question.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether it is not a fact that an industrial suburb has been created in

Bangalore City where factories and mills have got to be put up, instead of their being allowed to come up in the residential area ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—An Industrial Suburb has been formed, but the T. R. Mills is in existence from a long time. It was not started very recently.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Is it or is not a fact that a new mill has been constructed in this particular plot of ground ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes, it is being constructed.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—That is exactly what I want to know. Will the Government explain why they consider it necessary to allow this particular person to put up a mill in a non-industrial area when an industrial suburb has been created for everybody else ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The public had no objection for the construction. Therefore, the Government allowed extension of the mill building.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Are the Government aware that representation was made to the contrary by the Hon'ble Member who has been elected to this Assembly from that constituency ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What was the representation made and how did Government react to it ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Of course there was a representation from the Hon'ble Member but there were representations from the public also that they had no objection to the extension of the Mill building. The then Finance Minister with the Chairman of the Trust Board and the Corporation Mayor inspected the spot and passed an order that 40 feet width may be left free for formation of road.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I ask why the Government considered it necessary to receive public representations which were not in conformity with what was represented by the elected representative of the Constituency and what was the special reason why they found it necessary to prefer the representations made by that section of the public to which the Minister referred, rather than listen to the representation made by the elected representative ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—We did not invite any representations. On further representation by the Proprietor of the Mills, I inspected the spot and I found that the road was not necessary. If an approach road to Kempambudhi Tank from Chamarajpet main road is formed it will cut the factory into two and this will affect the compactness of the mill. Therefore it was thought desirable to divert the road through Agrahar.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What do Government propose to do in respect of the Corporation property that lies hidden in that land ? Do Government have any plans for enabling access to be had to those underground, pipes by the Corporation authorities ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The pipe runs through the land belonging to the Mill. The Corporation have got the right over that land so long as the sewage pipe line runs there.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—In connection with this land being kept open, was there any previous Government Order ? Did not three Ministers visit that place and finally decide that it should be kept open ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—No. An order was passed.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—I cannot follow the answer.

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—On 19th December 1951 the Government passed an order for opening a road of 40 feet width.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Why was that order not given effect to, Sir ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It was not given effect to because the proprietor of the mills represented that the formation of the road would cut across his land and would inconvenience him.

(SRI T. CHANNIAH.)

Again, there was a representation from the public also stating that they have no objection for extension of the mill building. Government therefore re-considered the matter and decided upon diversion of the road.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Had not the same representation been made by the Proprietor of the I. R. Mills before the previous Government passed final orders that the road should not go across his land? Had he not also previously represented?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Is it not on his representation, even after hearing his objection, that the Government finally passed an order?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Yes. Government reconsidered the whole matter and passed orders.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Is it under the inherent power of Government that any question could be re-opened and final orders passed again and again?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Government reconsidered the whole matter after receiving representations from the mill-owner and the public.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Is there no finality of Government Order and no respect for Government Orders once passed?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—There certainly is.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—At the time of the passing of the Government Order, did the Government consult the Corporation or the Trust Board?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The Mayor was with me. The Mayor, the Trust Board Chairman and the Finance Minister were also with me.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Did you consult the Corporation?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The Mayor represented the Corporation.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Was the proposal placed before the Corporation?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The Mayor objected.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Was the proposal placed before the Corporation and the Trust Board?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—It was not placed. The Mayor was requested to be present on the day when the Minister inspected the spot.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Is it not necessary that the Corporation should be consulted when such orders are revised?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—That is a matter of opinion.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Is it a fact that sewage line has been diverted and may I know who is to meet the cost?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—That is still to be considered.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Will it not cost more than one lakh of rupees?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I have no idea about the cost.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—In case it is diverted, will the Government meet the cost?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—As I have already said, Government have not considered the matter.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—At the time of conversion of this particular land, from agricultural to house-building sites, did you consult the Corporation and the Trust Board?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I told the Hon'ble Member that when the Finance Minister visited the spot, he took the Mayor and also the Chairman of the Trust Board and after inspecting the spot, he passed orders.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Was the Mayor there in his individual capacity or in the capacity of the Mayor of the Corporation of Bangalore?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—As Mayor of the Corporation.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Are you aware that there are about thirteen or fifteen private houses within that area and that they have now been put to a lot of inconvenience because the road is blocked up ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Forty-one Corporators signed the petition.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—My question is—there are about 12 houses within that area. Are you aware that the road is blocked up and they are put to a lot of inconvenience?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—On 28th September 1951, forty-one Corporators sent a petition signed by all of them stating that they had no objection to extension of the mill buildings. Similar petition signed by the public had also been received that they had no objection whatsoever.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Are you aware that there are 12 houses within that area which has been acquired ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I am not aware.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—If the house owners come forward and ask the Government to permit them to open the road, will you allow it ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—That is a hypothetical question.

(Sri M. V. Rama Rao rose and some Hon'ble Members called out that he was putting questions for the second time on the same question).

Mr. SPEAKER.—You are for the second time putting questions.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—If the Speaker permits, questions can be put for the second time.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We are not having the practice for putting supplementaries for the second time.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—On a point of procedure, up till now, it is true .....

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri V. R. Naidu.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಟಿ. ಆರ್. ಮಿಲ್ಲಿನ ಮಾಲೀಕರಿಗೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ನಿನವರು ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯ.—41 ಮಂದಿ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಟರು ಗಳು ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು, ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭ್ಯಂತರವಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಬರೆದು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ನಿನವರು ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—No. 41 Corporators intimated Government that they had no objection for the grant of the licence.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಇಂಡಸ್ಟ್ರಿಯಲ್ ಸಬರ್ಬ್ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಮೈಲಿ ದೂರ ಇದೆ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Minister need not answer.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಶೀನಪ್ಪ.—ಟಿ. ಆರ್. ಮಿಲ್ಲಿನವರು ಹೊಸ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ನಿನಿಂದ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಪಡೆಯದೆ ಅಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The Hon'ble Member has himself signed the petition that he has no objection for the construction of the building.

Sri B. M. SEENAPPA.—Never.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Any other question ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಶೀನಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಸ್ಕೂಯೇಪ್ ಲೈನಿನಮೇಲಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Sri Seenappa has signed. (Looking into the petition). It is the eighth name here, Sir.

(Sri B. M. Seenappa rose again).

Mr. SPEAKER.—Please resume your seat.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—May I know which Finance Minister passed that order concerning T. R. Mill buildings?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—Sri H. C. Dasappa.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—May I know whether the mill-owner has taken a licence from the Central Government for the construction after plans have been scrutinised by the Central Government ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I want notice.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—What are the special grounds to reopen the question, Sir ?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—I have already answered that question.